# DETECTION OF AZOLLA-N AND UREA-N CONTRIBUTION TO RICE BY THE USE OF <sup>15</sup>N DILUTION TECHNIQUE.

Ghazal, F.M.; R. M. El-Shahat and M. Y. Abou-Zeid Soils, Water and Environ. Res. Inst., Agric. Microbiol. Dept., Agric. Res. Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt.

### **ABSTRACT**

Two field experiments were carried out simultaneously at Elsrew Research Experimental Farm Station (ARC) in the summer season of 2004, first one was to study the availability of labelled-N of Azolla (3% atom excess [a.e]) and urea (10 % atom excess) to rice plant var. Giza 171 at transplanting (TS) and maximum tillering stage (MTS), the second was to study the effect of Azolla pinnata inoculation in comparison with urea fertilizer on the rice yield components and the nitrogen content of rice grains and straw. Results in the first experiment indicate that Azolla used at the two stages exhibited higher values for all the labelled nitrogen parameters in comparison with unlabelled treatments. The highest values were 23 kg Nha-1 for labelled-N uptake, 14.5% for N- derived fertilizer and 76.8 % for 15N when labelled Azolla was applied at MTS. All the nitrogen values at TS were lower, but the values obtained with Azolla were higher than that with urea. Generally N applied later in the crop cycle is more easily absorbed than when applied at early stages as well as Azolla-N was more available to rice than was urea-N. At maximum tillering, 76.8% of <sup>15</sup>N from Azolla were recovered in the rice plant compared with only 42.9 % from urea. In the second experiment Azolla significantly increased rice yield (Var. Giza 171) and soil organic carbon. Increase in yield was 89.5 % (60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as urea), 81.5 % (60 kg N ha-1 as Azolla) and 92.1% (30 kg N ha-1 as urea + 30 kg N ha-1 as Azolla). Sixty kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as Azolla was almost equivalent the application of 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as urea. The combination of urea and Azolla 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> each resulted in grain yield higher than that obtained with urea or Azolla alone but not significantly different from that obtained with 6.0 kg N ha 1 as urea. The increase of rice grain yield was associated with the increase in the number of panicles hill-1 and the grain weight.

## INTRODUCTION

Azolla is a genus of small aquatic ferns with worldwide distribution in temperate and tropical regions. Studies on Azolla have generated tremendous interest in the scientific community due to nitrogen fixation by its symbiotic cyanobacterium Anabaena azollae (Watanabe et al., 1991). It has been used as green manure and supplemented source of nitrogen for rice Herzalla, 1991;EL-Bassel et al., 1993 and EL-Zeky 2005).

Azolla as biofertilizer can only be realized if its nitrogen becomes available for crop uptake. This appears only after Azolla decomposition. About two-thirds of its mineral nitrogen (NO<sup>+4</sup> and NO<sup>-3</sup> is released under aerobic conditions at 29°C in five to eight weeks (Tuzimura et al., 1957); about 75% of Azolla-N mineralizes in 6 to 8 weeks (Watanabe et al., 1977). From 20-30% of Azolla-N was observed to be taken up by the first rice crop, and <sup>15</sup>N-recovery from Azolla and urea was similar (Kumarasinghe and Zapata, 1984); 65% of the nitrogen from Azolla incorporated at 30 days after transplanting (DT) was recovered in the straw and 15% in the grains. When Azolla incorporated at 78 DT, the amount of nitrogen recovered in the grains increased about 50% (Watanabe 1987). The uptake of urea-N was found to

occur primarily within 30 days of application, whereas the major uptake of *Azolla*-N occurred between 30 to 60 days (Eskew 1987), <sup>15</sup>N recovery from *Azolla* was higher than from urea. When *Azolla* was incorporated into the puddled soil, it had gradual N release, 70% of its N-content are available within 20 days (Ventura *et al.* 1987). Kumarasinghe and Eskew (1991) cocluded that 65-95% of nitrogen accumulated by *Azolla* spp. was derived from air. Rosenani and Chulan (1992) found that when *Azolla*-nitrogen(labelled with <sup>15</sup>N isotope) was applied to rice at transplanting, the recovery of nitrogen by the crop was only 20.2 %; but when it was applied at maximum tillering (30 days after transplanting), the nitrogen recovery was 30.2 %. Recovery of urea nitrogen was similar, being 22.5 % and 38.6 % for application at the same respective stages.In oxisol soil with a low available P content, the N% recovery increased when *Azolla* was applied at rice transplanting and later incorporated into the soil (Sisworo *et al.*,1995).

Incorporation of Azolla pinnata alone or in combination with ammonium sulphate as nitrogen fertilizer to rice improved soil fertility and increased the rice yield components (tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>, length of panicle an grain and straw yields) to be much higher than those recorded by the control treatment, indicating the efficacy of A. pinnata as biofertilizer (Padhya, 1997). Azolla applied to rice plants before transplanting at the rate of 60 kg Nha-1 produced significantly higher grain yield than that produced by urea (Satapathy, 1999). The objective of this study was to determine the availability of Azolla-N and urea-N to rice by using <sup>15</sup>N- labelled Azolla pinnata (3 % a.e) and urea (10 % a.e), as well as to study the possible use of Azolla as nitrogen source in rice production.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out simultaneously, first was to study the availability of labelled-N of *Azolla* (3% atom excess) and urea (10 % atom excess) to rice plant var. Giza 171 at transplanting (TS) and maximum tillering stage (MTS), the second was to study the effect of *Azolla pinnata* inoculation in comparison with urea fertilizer on the rice yield components and the nitrogen content of rice grains and straw.

Azolla pinnata - Anabaena azollae associations were maintained in a greenhouse in two-fifths Hogland's solution minus nitrogen (El-Aggan 1982) with initial pH 7.1. Samples of biomass were used for producing labelled Azolla (3% a.e) by the use of ammonium sulphate (30% a.e) according to the FAO/IAEA method (1986). urea (10% a.e) was used as source of labelled mineral nitrogen compared with labelled Azolla-N (3 % a.e).

Availability of *Azolla*-N and urea to rice: *Azolla* and/or urea was incorporated into the top 5 cm of soil at transplanting and maximum tillering stages. Thirty-five-day old rice seedlings variety Giza 171 were then transplanted at 20 cm spacing in 30 (1 m x 1 m) plots. The plots were lined with polyethylene sheets with 30 cm overlapping and covered with 20 cm soil to prevent the <sup>15</sup>N-movement between plots. Plots remained flooded until 2 weeks before harvest. The floodwater was drained at incorporating *Azolla* or

urea. Weeds were controlled by pushing them back into the soil to avoid losses of 15N. Five treatments with 6 replicates, arranged in a complete randomized block design, were:

 30 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> labelled Azolla incorporated at transplanting (TS or 35 days) from sowing) and 30 kg Nha-1 unlabelled Azolla incorporated at maximum tillering stage (MTS or 75 days from sowing) (60 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> as Azolla).

30 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> unlabelled Azolla incorporated at TS and 30 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> labelled

Azolla incorporated at MTS (60 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> as Azolla).

 30 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> labelled urea incorporated at TS and 30 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> unlabelled urea incorporated at MTS (60 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> as urea).

• 30 kg Nha unlabelled urea incorporated at TS and 30 kg Nha labelled

urea incorporated at MTS.

· Control with no N added but simulated incorporation was done at TS and MTS.

At maturity 9 hills were harvested from the center of each plot. Grains and straw were sub sampled, oven dried at 70°C for 24 hours and ground for measurements of 15N recovery using an emission spectrometer (Jasco N-150) as described by Buresh et al., (1982). The portion of nitrogen derived from fertilizers (urea or Azolla) were calculated according to the following equation:

% nitrogen derived from labelled urea or Azolla

$$= \frac{15N}{15N} \quad a.e \quad in \quad plant \quad sample \\ a.e \quad in \quad fertilizer \quad applied$$
 ×100

For estimating the rice yield componets (grain and straw yields, 1000 grain weight, plant height, number of panicles hill-1 and grain and straw nitrogen percentages) the second experiment was designed to include 4 treatments with 6 replicates arranged in a complete randomized block design. the treatments were:

. Control (without any fertilizers).

. (60 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> as urea). . (60 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> as *Azolla*)

. (30 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> as urea + 30 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> as Azolla)

Results for both experiments are statistically analyzed using Duncan's multiple range test as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the treatments in the first experiment showed a significant increase in the total N yield over the control (Table 1). However, yields did not significantly differ among the treatments. Generally, Azolla at these stages exhibited higher values for all of the labelled nitrogen parameters than that of urea. The highest values were 23 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> for labelled N uptake, 14.5% for N derived fertilizer and 76.8% for N15 recovery when labelled Azolla was used at MTS. The values at TS were lower, but the values obtained with Azolla were still higher than that with urea.

These results indicate that N applied later at the MTS is more easily absorbed than when applied at early stages. However, at MTS plants are more developed than at TS which enabled us to conclude that losses of N are higher when N is applied at TS time and that young plants cannot store enough N to contribute the entire needs of rice plant. Azolla-N was more available to rice than urea-N at the two stages. This was probably because of the gradual release of Azolla-N in relation with its methods of application in the anaerobic soil layer and continuously flooded conditionsof the soil.

The application of *Azolla* and urea either alone or in combination significantly increased the rice grain yield over the control (Table 2). The percentage increases were 89.5 % (60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as urea), 81.5% (60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as *Azolla*) and 92.1 % (30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as urea + 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as *Azolla*). Sixty kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as *Azolla* was almost equivalent the application of 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as urea. The combination of urea and *Azolla* 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> each resulted in grain yield higher than that obtained with urea or *Azolla* alone but not significantly different from that obtained with 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as urea.

Table (1): Availability of Azolla-N and urea-N to rice under field conditions.

Plant growth stage with 15N	Levels	Total N Yield Kg Nha <sup>-1</sup>	Total labelled-N Kg Nha <sup>-1</sup>	N from fertilizer Kg Nha <sup>-1</sup>	recovery %	
At harvest	Control	102.6 b				
TS	TS 14N - Azolla +		10.9 b	6.7 b	36.2 c	
MTS	<sup>14</sup> N – Azolla + <sup>15</sup> N- Azolla	162.8 a	23.0a	14.5 a	76.8a	
	<sup>15</sup> N -urea + <sup>14</sup> N-urea	174.2 a	8.9c	5.1 c	29.6 bcd	
MITO	<sup>15</sup> N-urea + <sup>15</sup> N-urea	174.1 a	12.9a	7.4 b	42.9 b	

In a column, means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT.

The weight of 1000 grains (Table 2) was not significantly affected by the treatments under this study. However, in contrast data show significant increases in the number of panicles hill of 57.1,42.9 and 64.3 % over the control treatments for 60 kg N ha a urea, 60 kg N ha a azolla and the combination of urea and Azolla, respectively. Values for Azolla or urea applied alone were not significantly different. The values corresponding to the combination of urea and Azolla was not significantly higher than that for Azolla alone, but not significantly different from that obtained with urea alone.

The use Azolla and urea either alone or in combination significantly increased the rice straw yield over the control (Table 1). However, the increases were 91 % (urea), 89 % (Azolla) and 39.9 % (urea + Azolla) over the control treatment. Non – significant differences were observed towards the straw yield among the tested treatments of Azolla and urea or the combination of both.

Due to the plant height , results show significant increases in all treatments as compared with the control. The highest increase was (23.4 %) for Azolla-urea combination treatment. The lowest increase was 18.8 % for Azolla alone. The application of urea alone caused an increase of 19.5 % not significantly different from the one the one obtained by the use of Azolla alone.

The increase of the straw yield was attributed to the increase in the plant height as a result of *Azolla* application.

Nitrogen content of grain and straw yield were significantly higher for all treatments than that of the control (Table 2).

Nitrogen content in the grains, increased by 27.5 % (urea), 18.3% (Azolla) and 28.4 % (urea + Azolla), over the control.

Table (2): Effect of Azolla pinnata and urea on rice yield components under field condition

Treatment	Grain yield (th <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (th <sup>-1</sup> )		Plant height (cm)	No. of panicle hill-1	Grain N (%)	Straw N (%)
Control	3.80c	6.60b	23.40a	128c	14c	1.09	0.48c
60 kg N ha (urea)	7.20a	12.60a	23.10ab	153b	22ab	1.39	0.63b
60 kg N ha (Azolla)	6.90b	12.50a	23.60a	152b	20b	1.29	0.62b
30 kg N ha-1 (urea) + 30 kg N ( <i>Azolla</i> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	7.30a	12.80a	22.90b	158a	23a	1.40	0.67a

In a column, means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT.

Results obtained with the application of urea alone or in combination with *Azolla* were not significantly different. Same trends were observed for the nitrogen content of straw. The highest increase over the control was 39.6 % for the combination of urea and *Azolla*.

Similar findings to those obtained here were documented by Ito and Watanabe (1985) who pointed out that sixty percent of the nitrogen from Azolla incorporated at 30 days after transplanting was recovered in the straw and 15% in the grain. When Azolla was incorporated at 78 days after transplanting, the amount of nitrogen increased about 50%. Liu and Chen (1986) reported that total 15N recovery from Azolla and urea incorporated at transplanting and maximum tillering stages of rice were 48.33% and 37.88% respectively. According to Eskew (1987) the uptake of urea-N occurred primarily within 30 days of application, whereas the major uptake of Azolla-N occurred between 30 to 60 days. Ventura et al., (1987) found that 15N recovery from Azolla was higher than from urea and explained that when Azolla was incorporated into the puddled soil, it had gradual N release, with 70% of its N becoming available within 20 days. Also the data obtained indicate a similar efficiency of labelled urea and labelled Azolla as N sources to rice plants, which may be attributed to rapid mineralization of Azolla pinnata in flooded rice soils (Ventura and Watanabe (1993). Incorporation of 15Nlabelled Azolla pinnata into the soil gave an 15N recovery by rice (shoots and roots) of 40% and 24 %, for the sterilized and normal soil, respectively (Galal, 1997).

Concerning the rice yield components in the second experiment, results could explain that the increase in rice grain yield was associated with the increase in the number of panicles hill<sup>-1</sup> and not in the 1000 grain weight. Such results have been reported by (Nazeer and Prassad, 1984; Ventura et al., 1993 and Satapathy, 1999) who observed that the use of urea, Azolla or a combination of both, in split application increased the grain yield by 1 to 1.5 tones ha<sup>-1</sup>. They also added that Azolla applied to rice plants before transplanting at the rate of 60 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> produced significantly higher grain yield than that produced by either farmyard manure or urea. Moreover, Gevrek (2004) found that the use combination Azolla + N fertilizer in rice cultivation increased significantly than that of N fertilizer alone. He also concluded that the use of Azolla will lead to a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub> reduction in the N demands of rice crop. Similar results for grin and sraw-N content were noticed by (Ruschel, 1986 and Mandal et al., 1999).

#### Conclusion

The application of *Azolla* in rice cultivation can be considered as a promising technique both to increase rice productivity and to provide protection from the environmental pollution caused by the extensive use of chemical fertilizers. Thus it may be inferred that *Azolla* exhibited a better N availability to rice than urea and it could act as a substitute nitrogen source for rice crop other than urea. However, better incorporation was at maximum tillering stage.

## REFERENCES

- Buresh, R. J., Austin, E. R. and Graswell, E. T.(1982). Analytical method in <sup>15</sup>N research. Fert. Res. 3: 37-62.
- El-Aggan, W. (1982). Comparative study of the growth and nitrogenase activity of five *Azolla* species as affected by various environmental factors, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ Catholique de Lourain, Belgium.
- El-Bassel, A., Ghazal, F. A. and EL- Farouk, O. (1993). *Azolla* cultivation in Egypt. In: Nitrogen fixation with non-legumes. The sixth International Symposium on nitrogen fixation with non-legumes, Ismailia, Egypt, 6-19 September 1993.
- El-Shahat, R. M. (1988). Studies on *Azolla* under Egyptian conditions. M.Sc. Thesis in Agric. Microbiol. Department of Microbiol., Fuculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Kalubia, Egypt.
- El- Zeky, M. M., R. M. EL-Shahat, Gh. S. Metwaly and Elham M. Aref (2005). Using of cyanobacteria or *Azolla* as alternative nitrogen sources for rice production. J. Agric. Mansoura Univ. 30(9): 5567 5577.
- Eskew, D. L. (1987). Use of <sup>15</sup>N in N and N cycling studies of *Azolla*. In *Azolla* utilization. The International Rice Research Institute, Philippines. 233-239.
- FAO/IAEA, Joint Division. (1986). Coordinated research program on isotopic studies of nitrogen fixation and nitrogen cycling in *Azolla* and bluegreen algae. Experimental plans of the year 1986. (EXP. II).

- Galal, Y. G. M. (1997). Estimation of nitrogen fixation in an *Azolla*-rice association using the nitrogen-15 isotope dilution technique. Biol. and Fertil. Soils. 24: 76-80.
- Gevrek, M. N. (2004). A study on *Azolla* as a nitrogen source in rice farming. Turkish J. Agric. & Forestry. 24: 165-172. (Turkish language with English Summary).
- Ghazal, F. M.(1987). Microbiological studies on nitrogen fixation by Azolla and alga. Ph.D.Thesis in Agricultural Microbiology, Department of Agricultural Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Pp.208-215.
- Hamdi, Y. A., Alaa El-Din,M.N., Shalan, S. N. and El Sayed, M. H. (1980). Response of rice to algal and Azolla application. Report on methodology of Azolla preservation and transport. Pp. 29-43. Dept. of Agric. Microbiol., Soils, Water and Environ., Res. Inst.,Agric. Res. Center. Giza, Egypt.
- Herzalla, Nagat, A. (1991). Some studies on *Azolla* propagation in Egypt. Ph.D. Thesis inAgricultural Microbiology, Department of Agricultural Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Banha Branch, El-Sharkia, Egypt.
- Ito and Watanabe, I. (1985). Availability to rice plants of nitrogen fixed by Azolla. Soil Sci. plant Nutr. 31, 91—104.
- Kumarasinghe, K. S. and Zapata, F. (1984). *Azolla-Anabaena* Association. substratum labelling technique to assess nitrogen fixation and its potential as biofertilizer for rice. The First Conference of African Association of Biological Nitrogen Fixation, Kenya, 23-27 July 1984, 454 464.
- Kumarasinghe, K. S. and Eskew, D.L. (1991). Application of <sup>15</sup>N isotope technique in rice studies. Proc. IAEA, Vienna.pp. 169-178.
- Liu, C. C. and Chen, B. H. (1986). Study on *Azolla*-N efficiency by using <sup>15</sup>N labelled method in paddy field. Paper presented at the IAEA Research Coordination Meeting, held at the International Rice Research Institute.
- Mandal, P. L., Velk, P. L. G. and Mandal, L. N. (1999). Beneficial effects of blue-green algae and *Azolla*, excluding supplying nitrogen, on wetland rice fields: a review. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 28: 329-342.
- Nazeer, M. and Prassad, N. (1984). Effect of *Azolla* application on rice yield and soil properties. Phykos. 23: 1, 269-272.
- Padhya, M. A. (1997). Efficacy of *Azolla pinnata* R. Br. As biofertilizer. Advance in plant sci. Res. 6: 154-158.
- Rosenani, R. B. and Chulan, H. A.. (1992). Availability of nitrogen from nitrogen-15 labelled *Azolla pinnata* and urea to flooded rice. Plant and Soil, 143: 153-161.
- Ruschel, A. P. (1986). Report No. 1 For FAO/IAEA. Second FAO/IAEA Research coordination Meeting on Isotopic Studies of Nitrogen Cycling by Blue-green Algae and *Azolla*, held at The International Rice Research Institute, Philippines. PP. 5-9.

- Satapathy, K. B. (1999). Comparative efficiency of blue- green algae, *Azolla* and other biofertilizers on the growth of rice. Indian, J. Plant Physiol. 4: 100 –104.
- Sisworo, E. L., Rasjid, H., Sisworo, W. H., and Haryanto, W. J. (1995). Use of <sup>15</sup>N to determine the N-balance of *Azolla*-N and urea-N applied to wetland rice. IAEA-TECDOC-785, Vienna, PP.155-162.
- Tuzimura, K., Ikeda, F. and Tukamoto, K. (1957). Studies on *Azolla* with references to it as a green manure for rice field. J. Sci. Soil and Manure, Japan, 28:17-20.
- Van Hove, C. (1989). Azolla and its multiple uses with emphasis on Africa. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation (FAO). PP. 36-47.
- Ventura, W., Mascarina, G. B., Furoc, R. E. and Watanabe, I. (1987). Azolla and Seshania as biofertilizer for lowland rice, A paper presented in the 3-FCSSP Annual Scientific Conference, UP, Los Banôs, Philippines, April 28—30, 1987.
- Ventura, W. and Watanabe, I. (1993). Green manure production of *Azolla microphylla* and *Sesbania rostorata* and their long-term effects on rice yields and soil fertility. Biol. and Fertl. of Soils. 15: 241-248.
- Watanabe, I. (1984). Use of symbiotic and free living blue-green algae in rice culture. IN Outlook of Agriculture. 13: 166-172.
- Watanabe, I. (1987). Summary report of Azolla program of the International Network on Soil Fertility and Fertilizer. Evaluation for Rice. In Azolla Utilization. The International Rice Research Institute, Philippines, 197-205.
- Watanabe, I., Espinas, C. R., Berja, N. S. and Alimagno, B. V. (1977). Utilization of *Azolla anabaena* complex as a nitrogen fertilizer for rice. IRRI Res. Paper Ser. II. The International Rice Research Institute.
- Watanabe, I., Benjamin ,P. and Ramiers,C. (1991). Mineralization of *Azolla*-N and its availability to wetland rice. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 37: 4, 679-688.

الكشف عن مساهمة نبتروجين الأزولا ونبتروجين اليوريا للأرز بستخدام النبتروجين المعلم ١٥

فكرى محمد غزال، رضا محمد الشحات و مدحت يماني ابو زيد قسم بحوث الميكروبيولوجيا الزراعية - معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة- مركز البحوث الزراعية الجيزة- مصر

لقد أحريت تجرية حقلية بمحطة بحوث السرو في الموسم الصيفي ٢٠٠٤ لتقدير النيتروجين المتاح من الأزولا كسماد حيوى أخضر لنباتات الأرز بالمقارنة مع اليوريا، وكذلك قدر كت من محصول الارز ومحصول القش والمحتوى النيتروجيني لكل من الحبوب والقش ، عدد السنابل بكل نبات ، وزن ١٠٠٠ حبة، ارتفاع النباتات . وقد استخدمت لـذلك الأزولا المعلمــة بـالنيتروجين الثقيــل (15N) حيث كانت نسبة النيتروجين الثقيل بها ( Azolla - 15N 3% a.e ) واليوريا المعلمة بالنيتر وجين الثقيل (.urea-15N 10 % a.e ) ولقد تم تسميد الأرز بالأزو لا أو اليوريا سواء معلمة بالنيتر وجين الثقيل أم غير معلمة عند مرحلتين من مراحل نمو الأرز وهما مرحلة الشــتل (٣٥ يوما من الزراعة ) و مرحلة التفريع الأقصى للنبات (٧٥يوما من الزراعة)

لقد أوضحت النتائج مايلي:

١- لقد سجلت أعلى قيم النيتروجين المتاح في المرحلتين بواسطة النتروجين المعلم بالمقارنة مع المعاملات التي لا تحتوى على نيتروجين معلم.

٧- كانت أعلى قيم مسجله هي ٣٢كجم نيتروجين /هكتار (النيتروجين الكلي المعلم /هكتار )، ٥ر ؛ ١ كجم نيتروجين لكل هكتار متاح من سماد الأزولا المعلم عند مرحلة التغريع الأقصى والتي تمسل ار ٧٦ % من تركيز النيتر وجين المعلم من الأزولا (١٥N recovery).

٣- جميع قيم النيتروجين المعلم المسجلة بواسطة الأزولا عند مرحلة الشتل كانت منخفضة ولكنها أعلى من مثيلاتها بالنسبة لليوريا المعلمة.

٤- وعموما فإن النبتر وجبن المستخدم من الأزولا عند مرحلة التفريع الأقصى كان أكثر إتاحة لنبات الأرز منه عند مرحلة الشتل.

٥- وكذلك فإن نيتروجين الأزولا كان أكثر إتاحة لنبات الأرز عنه في حالة اليوريا.

٦- وعلى أية حال فإنة عند مرحلة التفريع الأقصى وجد أن ١٨ر ٧٦% من نيتروجين الأزولا كان متاحاً لنبات الأرز في مقابل ٩ر٢٤% من نيتروجين الأزولا.

٧- أن التلقيح بالازولا أو اليوريا بمفردهما أو مجتمعين أدى إلى زيادة محصول الأرز اذا ماقورنت بمعاملة المقارنة بدون أي تسميد.

٨- كانت نسبة الزيادة ٥، ٨٩ % في محصول الأرز مع معاملة التسميد (١٠ كجم نيتروجين/ فدان كيوريا) و ٥، ٨١ % مع معاملة التسميد (٦٠ كجم نيتروجين/ فدان كازولا) و ١، ٩٢ % للمعاملة (٣٠ كجم نيتروجين/ فدان كازولا + ٣٠ كجم نيتروجين/ فدان كيوريا)

٩- أدى التلقيح بالأزولا منفردا أو مع إضافة اليوريا إلى زيادة الكربون العضوى بالتربة إذا ما قورنت بمعاملة المقارنة.

• ١- امكانية استبدال جزء من نيتروجين اليوريا بنيتروجين الأزولا لتسميد الأرز وتقليل التكلفــة وكذلك الحد من تلوث البيئة بزيادة استخدام هذة الاسمدة المعدنية لزيادة الانتاج المحصولي.