GENETIC ANALYSIS OF GRAIN YIELD ATTRIBUTES AND PROTEIN CONTENT IN BREAD WHEAT UNDER DIFFERENT NITROGEN FERTILIZATION LEVELS.

Gebrel, E.M.A.H.¹; R.A.Ramadan¹; E.M.Mahgoub²; A.A.Mahmod² and Eman.M.M.Sadk¹

1-Wheat Research Section, Field Crops. Res. Inst. Agric. Res. Cent., Giza, Egypt.

2-Genetics Dept., Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Zagazig, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Six diverse wheat cultivars (Triticum aestivum L.) were used in a partial-diallel crosses to produce 15 F₁, hybrids. Genetical analysis and heritability, was estimated for days to heading, days to maturity, grain filling period, plant height, spike length, number of spikes /plant, 1000-grain weight, number of kernels per spike, grain yield/ plant and grain protein content. Mather and Jinks (1971) and Hayman(1954 a and b) methods were used to estimate the genetic parameters for studied characters. Significant mean squares were obtained for genotypes, parents and crosses for all traits studied under the three nitrogen levels. Thus, the parental cultivars displayed enough of genetic variability. The significant of mean squares for parents vs. crosses provide evidence for heterosis. Moreover, nitrogen mean squares were significant indicating that these characters behaved differently from one nitrogen level to another. The local wheat cultivar Shandaweel1 (P₃) was superior for giving higher number of grains/spike, 1000-grain weight and grain protein content % while Gemmeiza9(P4) was the best for giving more number of spikes/plant and grain yield. Meanwhile the cross combination (Shandaweel1xGemmeiza9 gave the heaviest 1000-grain weight ant the maximum value of number of grains/spike and grain yield /plant was obtained by the cross (P₁×P₅). These results hold true under the three nitrogen levels. The additive genetic variances (D) were significant for most of studied traits under three nitrogen fertilization levels. Significant values for the dominance components (H1) were obtained for all traits under three nitrogen fertilizer levels. Values of (H1) were larger in magnitude than their respective (D) ones for all traits under three nitrogen levels. Values of H2 were found to be smaller than H1 for all traits under the three nitrogen levels. Studies on degree of dominance revealed the presence of over dominance for days to maturity, grain filling period, plant height, spike length, number of grains per spike, grain yield per plant and grain protein content at the three nitrogen level. The average degree of dominance (H1/D) 1/2 were found to be nearly equal unity for days to heading and 1000 grain weight at low nitrogen fertilization indicating that these characters were controlled by compelet dominance. The proportion of genes with positive and negative effects in the parents as indicated by H2/4H1 were lese than its maximum value (0.25) at the three nitrogen fertilization levels for days to heading, grain filling period, plant height, number of spikes/plant and grain protein content% suggesting asymmetrical distribution of positive and negative alleles among the parental population. While, it was near to its maximum value (0.25) for 1000-grain weight and grain yield/plant at low and medium N fertilization levels, days to maturity and number of grains/spike at 75kg.N./fad. indicating equal distribution of positive and negative alleles. Estimates of the ratio of dominant to recessive alleles in the parents KD/KR were more than unity for most of the studied characters revealing more frequency of dominant alleles. The heritability estimates ranged from 7.9 % for grain filling period at medium N fertilization to 69.5% for 1000-grain weight in the third nitrogen level. Low heritability estimates were detected for grain filling period, main spike length, grain yield/plant and grain protein content% at the three nitrogen levels

indicating that most of the genetic variance may be due to non-additive genetic effect and was a fleeted by environmental factors, hereby selection should be delayed to later generations.

Keywords: Bread wheat, Nitrogen fertilization and genetic parameters.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the most important crop in terms of area and production because it is a staple food for more than one third of the world population. In Egypt, wheat is the main winter cereal crop. It used as a staple grain food for urban and rural societies and as a major source of straw for animal feeding. The inheritance of grain yield per plant in an 8×8 diallel crosses population of bread wheat was studied by Dere and Yildirim (2006).

They found that dominance variance component (H_1) was significant for grain yield per plant. Dominance variance component (h_2) and corrected

dominance variance component (H_2) were significant for plant height and grain yield per plant. Using six generations model Dawwam et al.(2007) studied the genetical behavior of some quantitative characters in bread wheat and found that additive gene effects (a) were significant for plant height, number of grains per main culm ear, grains per ear, 1000-grain weight and grain yield per plant. Okan (2009) studied six experimental lines and one commercial wheat cultivar from diverse backgrounds using a half diallel and noted that spike length was determined by additive gene effect, while number of spikelets spike was controlled by non-additive genes. Number of kernels per spike, 1000- kernel weight and yield per plant were affected by both additive and dominant genes. Heritability and gene action of yield and yield components were estimated in a GoliaxCumhuriyet 75 cross using generation mean analysis by Erkul et al. (2010). They found that additivedominance model was valid for spike length, number of spikelets per spike, 1000-kernel weight, fertile tiller number, and grain yield. Heritability estimates and genetic advances were low for number of kernels per spike, 1000- kernel weight and grain yield; medium for spike length, number of kernels per spikelet; high for number of spikelet per spike, spike yield and fertile tiller number. Performance of eight bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) were evaluated under three diverse environments (early, normal and late sown conditions) through an 8x8 diallel cross by Ahmad et al.(2011). They found that the dominance gene effects were larger in magnitude than the additive

ones, resulting in average degree of dominance $(H1/D)^{1/2}$ more than unity in both generations. F₁ progenies of 7×7 diallel crosses comprising four high temperatures tolerant and three susceptible spring wheat parental genotypes were evaluated under normal and heat stress conditions by Irshad *et al.* (2012) They found that analysis of variance under both conditions indicated additive gene action with partial dominance was responsible for inhentance of days to heading, plant height, spikes/plant, spikelets/spike and grain

yield/plant suggesting that these traits might be useful for the development of terminal heat tolerant varieties by modified pedigree selection.

The increase in grain yield with increasing N-levels may be due to the improved growth which may account for the superiority of yield components and grain yield. The variation of agronomic management practices such as nitrogen fertilizer, seeding rates, sowing dates, irrigation and pest contoral influenced grain yield and yield components.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at Tag EL-Ezz - Agricultural Research Station (Dakahliea Governorate), Agriculture Research Center, Egypt, during the two successive growing seasons 2010/2011 and 2011/2012. Six wheat cultivars of wide divergent origins were used to establish the experimental materials for this investigation and would be mentioned in the text as P_1 , P_2 , P_3 , P_4 , P_5 , and P_6 . The name, pedigree and origin of these cultivars are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Name, pedigree and source of the studied bread wheat genotypes.

	3	oo., p. o.o.	
Name		Pedigree	Origin
Misr 1	(P ₁)	OASIS/SKAUZ//4*BCN/3/2*PASTOR. CM5500Y018881T-050M-030Y-030M-030WGY-33M-0Y-0S.	CIMMYT
Giza 168	(P ₂)	MRL/BUC//SERI. CM 93046-8 M-OY-OM-2Y-OB-OGZ.	Egypt
Shandaweel 1	(P ₃)	SITE/MO/4/NAC/TH.AC//3*PVN/3/MIRLO/BUC. CM5593B005675-72Y-010M-010Y-010M-3Y-0M-0HTY-0SH.	CIMMYT
Gemmeiza 9	(P ₄)	ALD"S"/HUAC"S"//CMH74A.630/Sx. CGM 4583 -5GM- 1GM- OGM	Egypt
Sids 1	(P ₅)	HD2172/PAVON"S"//1158.57/MAYA74"S". SD46 -4SD-2SD-1SD-0SD.	Egypt
Sakha 94	(P6)	OPATA /RAYON//KAUZ. CMBW90Y3180-0TOPM-3Y-010M-010M-010Y-10M-015Y-0Y- 0AP-0S.	CIMMYT

Experimental design and cultural practices:

A diallel crosses mating disign was carried out among the six parents in 2010/2011 growing season at Kafr El-hamam Agric. Res. Station. The parental varieties and their possible 15 crosses were sown in 2011/2012 growing season in Tag El-Ezz Agric. Res. Station under three nitrogen fertilization levels, which would be mentioned in the text as, 25 (low level), 50 (medium level) and 75 (normal level) kg.N./fed., in three adjacent experiments, respectively. Each experiment included the six parents and their 15 possible F_1 hybrids, which were sown on 21st November at Tag El-ezz location in a randomized complete block design with three replications per each experiment.

The experimental plot consisted of three rows 3 meters long with 30 cm. between rows , plants within rows were 10 cm. apart allowing a total of 30 plants /row in order to minimize border effects the middle row was planted by the F_1 hybrid while the outer two rows were planted by its two parents one row for each. Data were recorded on an individual plants for days to heading, days to maturity, grain filling period, plant height, main spike length number of spikes/plant, number of grains/spike, 1000-grain weight, grain yield/plant and grain protein content%. Diallel analysis procedures as outlined by Hayman

(1954 a and b) and Mather and Jinks (1971) was used to estimate the relative magnitude of the genetic components of variance in additive to obtain information on the genetic mechanisms of the studied characters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Analysis of variance:

Data presented in Tables 2 showed that the mean squares due to wheat genotypes, parental cultivars and F_1 crosses were highly significant for all the studied characters under the three nitrogen levels providing evidence for the presence of sufficient amount of genetic variability adequate for further biometrical assessments. Parents versus crosses mean squares as an indication to average heterosis were found to be highly significant for the studied characters with two exceptions. Analysis of variance for the studied characters showed highly significant mean squares for nitrogen fertilization indicating overall differences among the three nitrogen fertilization levels. Genotypes xN fertilization interactions were found to be highly significant, indicating that wheat materials behaved differently from one nitrogen level to another. In this connection highly significant differences between wheat genotypes, for yield and its contributing traits were also observed by Muhammad Akbar et al (2010), Singh and Sharma (2012), Mohammad Reza et al (2012), Rizkalla et al (2012), Mohammad Reza et al (2013) and Farshadfar et al (2013).

2- Mean performance:

The mean performances of the six parental wheat genotypes and their 15 hybrids are presented in Tables 3. The most promising genotypes were P_3 (Shandaweel1) and P_6 (Sakha94) for early heading and maturity, P_2 (Giza168) and P_5 (Sids1) for plant height, P_3 (Shandaweel1) for longer main spike length, P_6 (Sakha94) and P_4 (Gemmeiza9) for more number of spikes/plant, P_3 (Shandaweel1) for higher number of grains/spike and 1000-grain weight, P_4 (Gemmeiza9) for high grain yield/plant and P_3 (Shandaweel1) had the highest grain protein content. Tables 3 showed that the hybrids: $(P_4 \times P_6)$ gave performance for earliness and number of spikes/plant, $(P_5 \times P_6)$ for longer main spike length, $(P_1 \times P_5)$ was the shortest cross in plant height while $(P_5 \times P_6)$ gave the tallest plant height, $(P_3 \times P_4)$ for heavier 1000-grain weight, $(P_1 \times P_4)$ gave the highest grain protein content. The maximum value for number of grains /spike and grain yield /plant was obtained by the hybrid $(P_1 \times P_5)$.

3- Genetic components of variance and heritability under three nitrogen fertilization:

The genetic parameters for all traits under the three nitrogen levels are presented in Tables 4. Data showed that the additive variances (D) were significant for all the studied traits except grain filling period and number of grains per spike under three nitrogen fertilization levels, plant height and number of spikes per plant at 75kg N/fed., main spike length at 25kg N/fed. and grain yield/ plant at both 50 and 75kg N/fed. These results indicated the importance of the additive gene effects in the inheritance of these traits under

the three nitrogen levels. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Erkul *et al.* (2010) and Ahmad *et al.* (2011).

Significant values for the dominance components (H1) and (H2) were obtained for all traits under the three nitrogen levels except grain filling period at 50 and 75 kg N/fed. Values of (H1) were higher in magnitude than their respective (D) ones for all traits under the three nitrogen levels, except 1000-grain weight at 25kg N/fed. These results indicated that non-additive type of gene action was the most prevalent in the genetic component for these traits. Similar results were previously obtained by Bakhsh *et al.* (2003), Bayoumi (2004), Dere and Yildirim (2006), Dawwam *et al.* (2007), Okan (2009), Ullah *et al.* (2010) and Ahmad *et al.* (2011).

Theoretically (H2) should be equal to or less than (H1)(Hayman, 1954b). In this study the values of (H2) were smaller than that of (H1) for all traits under the three nitrogen levels. This result indicated that the positive and negative alleles frequencies at the loci for the previous cases in question are not equal in preparation in the parents. The overall dominance effects of heterozygous loci (h²) were computed. Significant h² values were detected for all traits studied at the three nitrogen levels, except grain filling period, number of spikes per plant number of grains per spike and grain yield per plant at the three nitrogen level; days to heading, plant height and 1000-grain weight at low nitrogen level, grain protein content % at normal nitrogen level. Similar results were previously obtained by Singh and Sharma(2012).

The effect of dominance due to heterozygousity was of unidirectional appreciable causing heterotic effect. Similar results were previously obtained by Dere and Yildirim (2006) and Okan (2009).

The covariance of additive and dominance (F) was not significant for days to maturity, grain filling period, plant height, number of spikes per plant, number of grains per spike, 1000-grain weight and grain yield per plant under the three levels of nitrogen, days to heading at medium and normal nitrogen levels, main spike length at low nitrogen level and grain protein content % at low and normal nitrogen levels indicating an excess of recessive overdominance allelels. On the other hand, the other cases were found to be positive and significant, showing that the dominant alleles were more frequent than the recessive ones, irrespective of weather or not the dominant alleles have increasing or decreasing effects Mather and Jinks (1971). These findings were in the same line with those reached by Wagoire et al. (1998), Hamada (2003) and Nazeer et al. (2004). The relative size of (D) and (H1) estimated as (H1/D)1/2 could be used as weight measure of the average degree of dominance at each locus which showed the presence of over dominance for all traits under the three nitrogen levels, except days to heading and 1000-grain weight at the first nitrogen level. The dominance ratio for the last trait was found to be nearly equal unity indicating that this trait was controlled by compelet dominance. These results suggested that crossing and selection (pedigree method) could be useful to make use of most non-additive gene effects which would be isolated in the transgressive segregation. The importance of non-additive gene effects in the genetic control of the studied traits was also reported by Dere and Yildirim (2006) and Seleem and Koumber (2011).

The two dominant components (H1 and H2), generally, were significant. They greatly differed in their magnitudes, suggesting that positive and negative alleles were not equally distributed in the parental genotypes. These results are supported by the ratio of (H2/4H1). When positive and negative genes are equally distributed in the parental varieties, the proportion (H2/4H1) is expected to be 0.25. The estimated values of (H2/4H1) in this study were found to be close to this value for most traits. The estimated values of (H2/4H1) however, were found to be less than (0.25) for number of spikes/plant at medium and normal nitrogen levels in addition to grain protein content at three nitrogen levels, indicating that positive and negative alleles were not equally distributed among the parents for these traits. Similar results were previously obtained by Koumber and El-Gammaal (2012).

The proportion of dominance to recessive genes in the parents were more than unity (KD/KR>1) for all traits under three nitrogen levels, except number of grains /spike at medium nitrogen level and 1000-grain weight under the normal level. These data showed that the proportion of dominant alleles are greater in the parents than the recessive ones for these traits under the three nitrogen levels, while the recessive genes are in excess for the remaining traits compared to the dominant ones. The correlation coefficient value between parental mean (Yr) and (wr + vr) for each array was positive for days to heading at the first nitrogen level, grain filling period and number of spikes/plant under the second and the third N levels, plant height and number of grains per spike at 25, 50 and 75 kg N/fed, 1000 -grain weight and grain protein content % at the third nitrogen level and grain yield per plant under the second N level. These indicated that decreases genes were dominant over increase ones. For other traits studied, low correlation values were obtained where (r) could not be fruitful in getting any idea about the direction of dominance. Such low value of correlation coefficient might be due to the presence of epistasis and to additively of most genes involving the system for these traits. Also, it might reveal that high performance for such traits was controlled by dominant and recessive genes as well.

Heritability values:

Information on heritability estimates are useful to formulate the expected genetic advance from selection through generations in wheat breeding programs.

The ratio of additive genetic variance to total genetic variance is an estimation of heritability in narrow sense which was relatively high for days to heading at the first nitrogen level , number of spikes /plant at second level and 1000 grain weight under 25, 50, 70 kg N /fed., Thus, for these traits selection based on phenotype could be effective to improve and develop wheat genotypes concerning these traits under these conditions. High value of narrow sense heritability was also reported by Kheiralla *et al.* (1993) and Hamada (2003).

Heritability estimates in narrow sense for all traits under three nitrogen levels are given in Tables 4. The heritability estimates ranged from 0.079 for grain filling period at the second nitrogen level to 0.695 for 1000 - grain

weight at the third nitrogen level. Moderate to low heritability estimates were given for the other traits under different nitrogen levels, indicating that most of the genetic variance may be due to non-additive genetic effect. These findings support the previous results of genetic components in which H_1 estimates were found to have great role in these traits. Therefore, the bulk method program for most traits might be quite promising. Similar results were reported by Al-Kaddoussi (1996), Hamada (2003), Talebi and Fayyaz (2012) and Farshadfar *et al* (2013) .

REFERENCES

- Ahmad. F.; S. Khan1; S. Q. Ahmad; H. Khan; A. Khan and F. Muhammad (2011). Genetic analysis of some quantitative traits in bread wheat across environments. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 6(3) 686-692.
- AL-Kaddoussi, A. R.(1996). Inheritance of some growth and yield characters in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Zagazig J. Agric.Res., 17(3) 17-25.
- Bakhsh, A.; A. Hussain and A. S. Khan (2003). Genetic studies of plant height, yield and its components in bread wheat. Sarhad J. Agric., 19 (4):529-534.
- Bayoumi, T. Y. (2004). Diallel cross analysis for bread wheat under stress and normal irrigation treatments. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 31 (2) 435 455
- Dawwam, H. A.; F. A. Hendawy and Marwa. M. El-Nahas (2007). Genetical behavriour of some quantitative characters in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 32 (4): 1037 1054.
- Dere, S. and M. B. Yildirim (2006). Inheritance of grain yield per plant, flag leaf width and length in an 8 x 8 diallel cross population of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Turk. J. Agric., 30: 339 345.
- Erkul, A.; A. Unay and C. Konak (2010). Inheritance of yield and yield components in a bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) cross. Turkish Journal of Field Crops. 15(2): 137-140.
- Farshadfar, E.; Rafiee, F. and A. Yghotipoor, (2013). Comparison of the efficiency among half diallel methods in the genetic analysis of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under drought stress condition. Annals of Biological Research; 3: 3, 1607-1622.
- Hamada, A. A. (2003). Heterosis and gene action of yield and its components and some growth traits in an eight parents diallel cross of bread wheat under three sowing dates. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 28 (3): 787 819.
- Hayman. B.I. (1954a). The analysis of variance of diallel tables. Biometerics. 10: 235-244.
- Hayman. B.I. (1954b). The theory and analysis of diallel crosses. Genetics. 39: 789-809.
- Irshad, M.; I. Khaliq.;1 A. S. Khan1 and A. Ali (2012). Genetic studies for some agronomic traits in spring wheat under heat stress. Pak. J. Agri. Sci., Vol. 49(1), 11-20.

- Kheiralla, K.A; M.M. El-Defrawy and T.H. i. Sherif (1993). Genetic analysis of grain yield, biomass and harvest index in wheat under drought stress and normally moisture conditions. Assiut J. Agric.Sci., 24(3) 163-183.
- Koumber, R.M. and A.A. El-Gammaal, (2012). Inheritance and gene action for yield and its attributes in three bread wheat crosses (*Triticum aestivum* L.). World Journal of Agricultural Sciences; 8: 2, 156-162. 18.
- Mather, K. and J.L. Jinks. (1971). Biometrical Genetics (2nd edition) 255-287. Chapman and Hall, Ltd. London.
- Mather, K. and J. L. Jinks (1982). Biometrical Genetics. (3rd edition), Chapman and Hall, London.
- Mohammad Reza, N. R.; Mihdzar Abdul Kadir; Rafii, M. Y.; Jaafar, H. Z. E. and Mahmoud Danaee (2013). Gene action for physiological parameters and use of relative water content (RWC) for selection of tolerant and high yield genotypes in F₂ population of wheat. Australian Journal of Crop Science; 7: 3, 407-413. 35.
- Mohammad Reza, N. R.; Kadir, M. A. and M. R. Yusop, (2012). Genetic behaviour for plant capacity to produce chlorophyll in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) under drought stress. Australian Journal of Crop Science; 6: 3, 415-420. 30.
- Muhammad Akbar; Javed Anwar; Makhdoom Hussain; Iqbal, M. M. and Waseem Sabir.(2010). Heterosis and heterobeltiosis for grain yield improvement in bread wheat. Journal of Agricultural Research (Lahore); 48: 1, 15-23. 16.
- Nazeer, A.W.; M. Safeer-ul-Hassan, and Zahid-Akram (2004). Genetic architecture of some agronomic traits in diallel cross of bread wheat. Pakistan-J.I.of-Biol. Sci., 7(8): 1340-1342.
- Okan, S. (2009). Identification of breeding potential for grain yield and its component traits of common wheat varieties in the east Mediterranean. Agrociencia. 43: 707-716.
- Rizkalla, A. A.; Hussien, B. A.; Al-Ansary, A. M. F.; Nasseef, J. E. and M. H. A.Hussein, (2012). Combining ability and heterosis relative to RAPD marker in cultivated and newly hexaploid wheat varieties. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences; 6: 5, 215-224. 46.
- Seleem, S.A. and R.M.A. Koumber (2011). Estimation of combining ability and gene action in the F1 and F2 generations in some breed wheat crosses. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 36 (6):1627-1648.
- Singh, M. K. and P. K. Sharma, (2012). Relationship of heterosis for protein content with yield component traits under normal/heat-stress environment in spring wheat. Crop Improvement; 39: 1, 8-17. 27.
- Talebi, R. and F. Fayyaz, (2012) Estimation of heritability and genetic parameters associated with agronomic traits of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) under two constructing water regimes. Journal of Applied Biological Sciences; 6: 3, 35-39. 24.
- Ullah, S.; A.S. Khan; A. Raza and S. Sadique (2010). Gene Action analysis of yield and yield related traits in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Int. J. Agric. Biol., 12: 125–128.

Wagoire W.W.; O.Stolen and R. Ortiz (1998). Combining ability analysis in bread wheat adapted to the East African highlands. Wheat Information - Service., 87:39 - 41.

التحليل الوراثي لمكونات محصول الحبوب و نسبة البروتين في قمح الخبز تحت مستويات مختلفة من التسميد الأزوتي.

عصام الدين معوض على حسين جبريا، رمضان عبد السلام رمضان 1 ، السيد محمود محجوب 2 ، أحمد عبد السلام محمود 2 و إيمان محمد محمد صادق 1

1- قسم بحوث القمح- معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية- مركز البحوث الزراعية- الجيزة- مصر.

2- قسم الوراثة- كلية الزراعة- جامعة الزقازيق- الزقازيق- مصر.

تم استخدام ستة تراكيب وراثية من القمح المتباعدة وراثيا وكانت هذه التراكيب هي: مصر 1, جيزة 168, شندويل 1, جميزة 9، سدس 1 وسخا 94. وقد أجريت كل التهجينات الممكنة بين الآباء مع استبعاد الهجن العكسية. وزرعت الآباء والجيل الأول الهجين في ثلاث تجارب منفصلة، أعطيت الأولى 25 وحدة أزوت، الثانية 50 وحدة أزوت و الثالثة 75 وحدة أزوت و ذلك بهدف دراسة مكونات التباين الوراثي و درجة التوريث لصفات عدد الأيام حتى طرد السنابل، عدد الأيام حتى النضج، فترة إمتلاء الحبوب، طول النبات، طول السنبلة الرئيسية، عدد السنابل على النبات، عدد حبوب السنبلة، وزن الألف حبة، محصول حبوب النبات و محتوى الحبوب من البروتين. وقد حالت النتائج تبعا لها يمان 1954 و قوة الهجين بطريقة ماذر و جينكس 1971-1982.

و تتلخص أهم النتائج فيما يلي:

أدى استخدام المستوى المنخفض من التسميد النيتروجيني (25 وحدة أزوت/فدان) إلى انخفاض في متوسطات التراكيب الوراثية المختلفة بالنسبة لمعظم الصفات تحت الدراسة. كان تأثير معاملات التسميد النيتروجيني عالى المعنوية لكل الصفات، مما يبين اختلاف كل من معاملات التسميد بالنسبة لهذه الصفات أظهرت النتائج أن النباين الوراثي المضيف (D) كان معنويا لكل الصفات تحت الدراسة و تحت الثلاث مستويات من التسميد الأزوتي فيما عدا فترة امتلاء الحبوب وعدد حبوب السنبلة تحت الثلاث مستويات من النتروجين، طول النبات و عدد السنابل تحت مستوى 75 كجم نيتروجين/ف، طول السنبلة تحت مستوى25 كجم نيتروجين/ف و محصول الحبوب عند مستويي 50 و75 كجم نيتروجين/ف وكانت قيم مكون السيادة (H1) معنويا لكل الصفات تحت الثلاث مستويات للتسميد الأزوتي وكانت قيم (H1) أكبر من قيمة (D) للصفات المدروسة فيما عدا وزن الألف حبة تحت مستوى 25 كجمّ نيتروجين/ف. تجاوزت نسبة الأليلاتُ السائدة إلي المتنحي K.D/K.R في الآباء الواحد الصحيح في معظم الصفات المدروسة فيما عدا عدد الأيام حتى النضّج عند مستوى 75 كجّم نيتروجين/ف وعدد حبوّب السنبلة تحت مستوى 50 كجم نيتروجين/ف ووزن الألفُّ حبة تحت مستوى 75 كجم نيتروجين/ف. دل متوسط درجة السيادة H1/D)1/2)على وجود السيادة الفائقة لصفة تاريخ النضج وفترة امتلاء الحبوب وطول النبات و طول السنبلة وعدد حبوب السنبلة ومحصول الحبوب و محتوى الحبوب من البروتين تحت الثلاث مستويات من التسميد الأزوتي وكانت السيادة كاملة لصفة طرد السنابل ووزن الألف حبة تحت مستوى 25 كجم نيتروجين/ف. تراوحت قيم معامل التوريث في معناه المحدود مابين 7.9 % بالنسبة لفترة امتلاء الحبوب تحت مستوى 50 كجم نيتروجين/ف إلى 69.5 الصفة وزن الألف حبة تحت مستوى 75 كجم نيتروجين/ف. وكانت قيم معامل التوريث في المدى الضيق منخفضة لصفة محصول الحبوب للنبات في الثلاث مستويات التسميد وهذا يشير إلى أن معظم الاختلاف الوراثي راجع إلى الفعل الجيني الغير مضيف.

Table 2: Mean square estimates of ordinary analysis for all traits studied under the three different nitrogen fertilization levels and their combined analysis.

		d.f	D	ays to he	ading (da	ay)	C	ays to m	aturity(da	y)	Gra	in filling	period(day)
Source of variation	d.f	Comb.	25 kg/fed	50 kg/fed	75 kg/fed	Comb.	25 kg/fed	50 kg/fed	75 kg/fed	Comb.	25 kg/fed	50 kg/fed	75 kg/fed	Comb.
N.levels	2	6	0.52	0.64	0.66	0.61	0.51	0.43	0.26	0.40	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.03
Genotypes	20	20	14.42**	42.63**	49.01**	83.13**	22.26**	16.63**	16.32**	49.47**	15.36**	16.29**	18.54**	38.85**
Parents	5	5	30.38**	41.66**	47.09**	114.90**	24.72**	15.99**	13.74**	47.02**	12.03**	13.37**	14.06**	36.46**
Crosses	14	14	9.10**	19.96**	19.30**	31.82**	14.70**	9.82**	9.79**	28.75**	14.15**	15.73**	16.87**	41.23**
Par. Vs. crosses	1	1	9.12**	364.89**	474.62**	642.61**	115.83**	115.29**	120.59**	351.67**	49.07**	38.64**	64.45**	17.47**
Genotypes×N.levels	-	40	-	-	-	11.47**	-	-	-	2.87**	-	-	-	5.67**
Parents×N.levels	-	10	-	-	-	2.11**	-	-	-	3.72**	-	-	-	1.49**
Crosses×N.levels	-	28	-	-	-	8.27**	-	-	-	2.78**	-	-	-	2.76**
Par.Vs.crosses×N.levels	-	2	-	-	-	103.01**	-	-	-	0.02	-	-	-	67.35**
Error	40	120	0.64	1.09	0.72	0.82	1.52	1.20	0.43	1.05	-	-	-	0.05

Table 2: Cont.

		d.f		Plant he	eight (cm)	Ma	in spike	length (d	m)	Nu	mber of	spike/pla	nt
Source of variation	d.f	Comb.	25 kg/fed	50 kg/fed	75 kg/fed	Comb.	25 kg/fed	50 kg/fed	75 kg/fed	Comb.	25 kg/fed	50 kg/fed	75 kg/fed	Comb.
Reps/N.levels	2	6	0.80	0.26	0.73	0.60	0.63	0.72	0.18	0.51	0.04	0.25	0.15	0.14
Genotypes	20	20	51.89**	58.12**	74.91**	174.83**	2.73**	2.85**	3.96**	7.38**	11.86**	5.18**	20.17**	26.35**
Parents	5	5	57.91**	84.01**	56.87**	184.11**	2.43**	4.40**	5.23**	8.77**	14.22**	7.09**	9.87**	26.88**
Crosses	14	14	50.12**	45.27**	68.37**	157.68**	2.66**	1.72*	1.53**	4.42**	10.90**	4.82**	25.16**	27.22**
Par. Vs. crosses	1	1	46.45**	108.56**	256.62**	368.60**	5.17**	10.87**	31.66**	41.78**	13.36**	0.69	1.91*	11.48**
Genotypes×N.levels	-	40	-	-	-	5.04**	-	-	-	1.08**	-	-	-	5.43**
Parents×N.levels	-	10	-	-	-	7.34**	-	-	-	1.64**	-	-	-	2.15**
Crosses×N.levels	-	28	-	-	-	3.04**	-	-	-	0.75	-	-	-	6.83**
Par.Vs.crosses×N.levels	-	2	-	-	-	21.51**	-	-	-	2.95**	-	-	-	2.24
Error	40	120	1.40	0.41	0.90	0.90	0.59	0.77	0.29	0.55	1.46	0.52	0.39	0.79

^{*} and ** = Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Comb. = Combined

J.Agric.Chem.and Biotechn., Mansoura Univ.Vol. 5 (8): 201 - 213, 2014

Table 2: Cont.

Number of grain/ spike 1000-grain weight (gm) Grain yield / plant (gm)														
		d.f	Nu	mber of	grain/ sp	oike	100	00-grain	weight (g	gm)	Gr	ain yield	/ plant (gm)
Source of variation	d.f	Comb.	25 kg/fed	50 kg/fed	75 kg/fed	Comb.	25 kg/fed	50 kg/fed	75 kg/fed	Comb.	25 kg/fed	50 kg/fed	75 kg/fed	Comb.
Reps/N.levels	2	6	0.61	0.20	0.10	0.30	0.26	0.20	0.14	0.20	0.24	0.72	0.19	0.38
Genotypes	20	20	36.66**	33.49**	120.59**	133.62**	17.16**	15.67**	18.23**	48.13**	34.23**	39.96**	66.85**	91.84**
Parents	5	5	18.85**	9.07***	42.08**	55.80**	28.54**	20.83**	23.61**	69.54**	34.52**	20.55**	33.53**	32.46**
Crosses	14	14	43.70**	43.12**	146.36**	159.30**	13.13**	12.73**	16.23**	39.22**	36.46**	46.85**	83.31**	118.49**
Par. Vs. crosses	1	1	27.22**	20.86**	152.34**	163.22**	16.71**	31.04**	19.40**	65.92**	1.62	40.52**	3.01*	15.55**
Genotypes×N.levels	-	40	-	-	-	28.56**	-	-	-	1.47**	-	-	-	24.60**
Parents×N.levels	-	10	-	-	-	7.10**	-	-	-	1.72**	-	-	-	28.07**
Crosses×N.levels	-	28	-	-	-	36.94**	-	-	-	1.43**	-	-	-	24.06**
Par.Vs.crosses×N.levels	-	2	-	-	-	18.61**	-	-	-	0.61	-	-	-	14.80**
Error	40	120	1.14	0.47	0.30	0.64	0.81	0.63	0.37	0.61	0.60	0.85	0.64	0.70

Table 2: Cont.

		-J £		Grain proteir	content (%)	
Source of variation	d.f	d.f Comb.	25 kg/fed	50 kg/fed	75 kg/fed	Comb.
Reps/N.levels	2	6	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.02
Genotypes	20	20	2.05**	1.56**	1.59**	3.75**
Parents	5	5	3.03**	2.89**	3.20**	6.75**
Crosses	14	14	1.42**	0.47**	1.03**	1.84**
Par. Vs. crosses	1	1	5.96**	10.00**	1.46**	15.47**
Genotypes×N.levels	-	40	-	-	-	0.72**
Parents×N.levels	-	10	-	-	-	1.19**
Crosses×N.levels	-	28	-	-	-	0.54**
Par.Vs.crosses×N.levels	-	2	-	-	-	0.98
Error	40	120	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03

^{*} and ** = Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively . Comb. = Combined

Table 4 : Estimates of genetic components of variation and their ratios for traits studied under the three different nitrogen fertilization levels.

Genetic	t 25 50			Days t	o matur	ity(day)	Grain fil	ling per	iod(day)	Plan	t height	(cm)	Main sp	ike leng	th (cm)
component		kaN/fod	75 kgN/fed	25 kgN/fe d	50 kg/fed	75 Kg N/fed	25 kgN/fed	50 kgN/fed	75 kgN/fed	25 kgN/fe d	50 kg/fed	75 kgN/fe d	25 kgN/fed	50 kgN/fe d	75 kgN/fed
D	9.91**	13.53*	15.45*	7.75**	4.94**	4.44**	4.0002	4.43	4.67	18.84*	27.86**	18.65	0.61	1.21**	1.64**
	±0.92	±6.60	±6.71	±1.85	±1.23	±0.56	±3.56	±6.41	±6.59	±8.69	±6.62	±11.33	±0.37	±0.30	±0.31
F	6.28**	16.14	15.13	3.78	2.04	-0.46	5.49	9.38	8.93	17.09	26.10**	14.73	0.26	1.88*	1.56*
	±2.25	±16.143	±16.41	±4.53	±3.02	±1.38	±8.71	±15.66	±16.11	±21.23	±16.17	±27.69	±0.91	±0.74	±0.75
H1	9.14**	48.92**	52.57**	18.26**	13.18**	11.12**	21.49*	28.45	30.10	61.41**	64.71**	91.78**	2.84**	3.47**	3.57**
	±2.34	±16.77	±17.05	±4.71	±3.14	±1.43	±9.05	±16.27	±16.74	±22.06	±16.80	±28.77	±0.95	±0.77	±0.78
H2	7.55**	40.57**	47.34**	15.96**	11.46**	10.95**	17.20*	22.53	24.26	49.89*	52.67**	81.16**	2.77**	2.60**	2.86**
	±2.09	±14.98	±15.23	±4.20	±2.81	±1.28	±8.08	±14.54	±14.95	±19.70	±15.01	±25.70	±0.85	±0.69	±0.70
h2	1.85	78.63**	102.40**	24.75**	24.69**	25.97**	10.59	8.33	13.91	9.78	23.37*	55.27**	1.01	2.20**	6.78**
	±1.41	±10.08	±10.25	±2.83	±1.89	±0.86	±5.44	±9.79	±10.06	±13.26	±10.10	±17.30	±0.57	±0.46	±0.47
Е	0.21	0.35	0.23	0.89	0.38	0.14	0.01**	0.03	0.02**	0.45	0.13	0.29	0.19	0.25*	0.09
	±0.34	±2.49	±2.53	±0.70	±0.46	±0.21	±1.34	±2.42	±2.49	±3.28	±2.50	±4.28	±0.14	±0.11	±0.11
[H1/D]0.5	0.960	1.901	1.844	1.534	1.633	1.582	2.317	2.534	2.538	1.805	1.524	2.218	2.157	1.693	1.475
H2/4H1	0.206	0.207	0.225	0.218	0.217	0.246	0.2001	0.198	0.201	0.203	0.203	0.221	0.243	0.187	0.2003
KD/KR	1.985	1.914	1.722	1.377	1.289		1.841	2.435	2.208	1.671	1.887	1.433	1.219	2.701	1.954
Heritability	0.553	0.214	0.186	0.411	0.415	0.468	0.245	0.079	0.114	0.339	0.341	0.261	0.186	0.097	0.331
r	0.052	-0.858*	-0.992**	-0.558	-0.888*	-0.713	-0.483	0.870*	0.886*	0.710	0.669	0.250	-0.770	-0.859*	0.250

*and** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability respectively.

J.Agric.Chem.and Biotechn., Mansoura Univ.Vol. 5 (8): 201 - 213, 2014

Table 4 : Cont.

Genetic	Number of spikes/plar 25 50 75			Numbe	r of grair	ns/ spike	1000-	grain wei	ght (g)	Grain	yield / pl	ant (g)	Grain pr	otein cor	ntent (%)
component		50 kgN/fed		25 kgN/fe d	50 kg/fed	75 Kg N/fed	25 kgN/fed	50 kgN/fed	75 kgN/fed	25 kgN/fe d	50 kg/fed	75 kgN/fe d	25 kgN/fed	50 kgN/fed	75 kgN/fed
D	4.27** ±1.34	2.19** ±0.37	3.16 ±2.39	5.91 ±4.57	2.87 ±3.40	13.92 ±14.45	9.25** ±1.24	6.74** ±1.21	7.74** ±1.28	11.31** ±3.69	6.56 ±6.27	10.97 ±11.39	0.99** ±0.29	0.95** ±0.17	1.05** ±0.23
F	0.94 ±3.50	0.22 ±0.92	1.90 ±5.84	4.50 ±11.18	-1.46 ±8.31	7.84 ±35.30	2.34 ±3.04	0.43 ±2.96	-0.91 ±3.13	5.91 ±9.01	1.38 ±15.33	9.32 ±27.82	0.98 ±0.73	1.36** ±0.42	0.91 ±0.58
H1	8.80* ±3.64	2.54* ±0.95	24.39** ±6.07	50.86** ±11.61	40.36** ±8.64	174.06** ±36.68	8.61** ±3.16	8.68** ±3.08	8.21* ±3.26	39.54** ±9.36	49.65** ±15.92	93.74** ±28.91	2.23** ±0.76	2.006** ±0.44	1.43* ±0.60
H2	8.09* ±3.25	1.86* ±0.85	18.04** ±5.42	45.55** ±10.38	33.04** ±7.72	161.17** ±32.77	8.19** ±2.82	8.43** ±2.75	7.62* ±2.91	37.27** ±8.36	45.47** ±14.23	80.06** ±25.83	1.77* ±0.68	1.46** ±0.39	1.11* ±0.54
h2	2.62 ±2.19	0.05 ±0.57	0.34 ±3.65	5.67 ±6.98	4.42 ±5.19	32.85 ±22.05	3.46 ±1.90	6.59** ±1.85	4.12* ±1.96	0.24 ±5.63	8.59 ±9.57	0.53 ±17.38	1.28** ±0.45	2.15** ±0.26	0.31 ±0.36
E	0.46 ±0.54	0.16 ±0.14	0.12 ±0.90	0.37 ±1.73	0.15 ±1.28	0.09 ±5.46	0.26 ±0.47	0.20 ±0.45	0.11 ±0.48	0.19 ±1.39	0.28 ±2.37	0.20 ±4.30	0.01 ±0.11	0.009 ±0.06	0.009 ±0.09
[H1/D]0.5	1.435	1.076	2.778	2.933	3.750	3.536	0.964	1.134	1.029	1.869	2.751	2.923	1.501	1.453	1.167
H2/4H1	0.229	0.183	0.185	0.223	0.204	0.231	0.237	0.242	0.218	0.235	0.228	0.213	0.198	0.181	0.194
KD/KR	1.66	1.097	1.242	1.298	0.872	1.173	1.301	1.057	0.891	1.324	1.079	1.340	1.984	2.942	2.181
Heritability	0.448	0.675	0.450	0.222	0.409	0.190	0.613	0.586	0.695	0.287	0.286	0.274	0.338	0.151	0.447
r	-0.725	0.554	0.144	0.541	0.459	0.062	-0.313	-0.431	0.026	-0.895*	0.109	-0.334	-0.635	-0.969**	0.054

^{*}and** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability respectively.

J.Agric.Chem.and Biotechn., Mansoura Univ.Vol. 5 (8): 201 - 213, 2014

Table 3: The genotypes mean performance under the three different nitrogen fertilization levels for all traits studied.

Tuble 6. The g		heading			maturity					Plant he				ike leng	th (cm)
Genotypes	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75
	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe	kgN/fe
	d	d	d	d	ď	ď	ď	ď	ď	d	d	d	ď	ď	d
Misr 1 (P1)	93.57	99.75	104.49	143.62	147.76	153.14	50.47	49.36	47.50	99.80	104.04	111.55	11.63	13.54	12.60
Giza 168 (P2)	96.82	100.63	106.53	145.66	148.79	151.94	50.41	48.34	45.66	96.99	101.79	108.91	12.35	12.31	14.36
Shandaweel 1(P3)	89.67	92.55	99.04	143.76	146.79	151.72	54.16	53.53	51.73	108.86	114.27	116.48	13.60	14.78	16.12
Gemmeiza 9 (P4)	95.63	100.81	105.79	146.90	150.99	155.91	51.23	50.44	47.57	99.61	105.31	111.71	12.30	13.42	14.60
Sids 1 (P5)	95.85	101.49	106.42	151.03	152.89	154.88	54.48	51.47	48.34	97.77	100.98	103.87	12.66	11.77	12.70
Sakha 94 (P6)	89.64	94.79	97.59	143.87	147.88	150.15	54.32	53.38	50.24	103.22	110.97	113.96	10.96	11.68	13.71
$P_1 \times P_2$	93.68	102.59	112.27	147.86	152.98	156.77	54.30	50.46	46.47	97.08	100.50	104.82	14.55	14.42	15.11
$P_1 \times P_3$	92.71	100.58	104.85	149.83	153.01	156.95	57.49	53.48	50.69	103.25	105.18	110.56	11.97	13.46	15.55
$P_4 \times P_1$	95.24	101.46	107.60	148.91	151.87	154.90	53.50	49.50	46.33	104.69	107.92	110.84	11.53	12.46	14.01
$P_1 \times P_5$	96.34	103.60	109.96	149.79	153.57	156.85	53.44	49.44	46.61	91.14	95.68	96.88	13.45	14.55	15.38
P ₆ ×P ₁	95.28	102.52	107.71	150.15	152.12	155.77	54.42	49.48	46.79	99.67	102.52	106.73	10.75	12.44	15.27
$P_3 \times P_2$	92.48	102.46	106.43	148.87	152.15	154.88	56.33	49.51	47.38	97.51	100.88	103.83	13.14	13.65	15.61
$P_4 \times P_2$	97.32	104.68	109.77	150.82	153.92	156.92	53.48	49.51	47.14	96.92	100.09	103.60	12.82	13.74	14.82
P ₅ × P ₂	95.80	106.56	110.65	150.87	153.18	156.62	55.36	49.20	46.23	98.72	105.72	109.90	12.33	13.42	14.88
$P_6 \times P_2$	95.67	103.19	108.91	147.87	150.87	152.87	52.42	47.57	43.63	101.50	105.28	109.52	12.85	14.41	16.33
$P_3 \times P_4$	93.20	102.74	109.17	148.82	152.87	155.91	55.46	50.41	46.75	98.92	102.47	104.86	13.50	14.37	16.32
$P_5 \times P_3$	92.37	107.32	112.12	150.96	153.39	157.86	58.34	49.68	46.54	91.02	96.89	97.23	13.64	14.61	15.62
$P_3 \times P_6$	93.41	107.52	112.80	142.90	146.75	152.86	49.24	42.49	39.54	102.67	106.69	109.59	13.05	14.33	16.24
$P_4 \times P_5$	95.35	107.82	113.36	151.20	153.37	159.86	55.52	48.50	45.61	98.79	104.54	107.73	12.73	12.97	15.60
$P_4 \times P_6$	91.30	99.51	106.43	146.74	150.23	156.49	54.27	50.45	48.21	101.76	107.93	110.60	13.60	14.04	16.45
$P_5 \times P_6$	95.41	102.38	108.77	146.54	152.42	154.79	53.44	50.62	46.11	103.49	107.51	112.48	13.37	14.67	16.58
L.S.D 0.05	1.30	1.69	1.38	2.00	1.78	1.06	0.26	0.48	0.37	1.92	1.04	1.54	1.25	1.42	0.87
L.S.D 0.01	1.73	2.25	1.83	2.66	2.36	1.41	0.34	0.64	0.49	2.55	1.38	2.04	1.65	1.89	1.15

and ** = Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Table 3: Cont.

	Numb	er of spike	es/plant	Numbe	er of grains	s/ spike	1000-	grain weig	jht (g)	Grain	n yield / pla	ınt <i>(</i> g <i>)</i>	Grain protein content(%)			
Genotypes	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	
	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	kgN/fed	
Misr 1 (P1)	20.88	23.27	26.65	73.97	84.65	96.27	37.10	40.88	43.95	38.96	44.83	56.91	6.56	9.38	11.37	
Giza 168 (P2)	17.87	21.86	24.40	73.92	81.86	94.67	38.92	42.32	45.90	37.86	44.04	57.93	6.67	10.25	12.37	
Shandaweel 1(P3)	17.40	22.33	27.25	77.19	84.51	96.65	42.67	43.90	48.92	44.80	46.80	49.90	8.61	10.46	13.40	
Gemmeiza 9 (P4)	22.40	25.07	27.84	72.77	84.67	93.76	38.72	43.56	46.97	45.84	49.13	59.13	8.32	9.75	11.55	
Sids 1 (P5)	20.64	24.32	28.52	76.44	84.80	95.82	33.15	36.79	40.92	43.89	51.05	54.75	8.53	9.71	11.60	
Sakha 94 (P6)	22.42	25.73	29.72	70.28	80.84	86.64	37.78	42.82	46.91	44.81	47.13	53.78	6.75	7.70	10.33	
$P_1 \times P_2$	20.26	22.03	23.85	75.08	85.46	99.71	37.08	42.82	46.05	36.87	43.24	51.21	7.46	9.72	11.42	
$P_1 \times P_3$	22.60	25.11	30.73	77.89	84.52	95.69	38.83	43.94	47.70	37.98	49.89	59.55	7.43	10.46	12.49	
$P_4 \times P_1$	21.61	24.46	29.87	76.81	83.56	90.13	42.16	44.76	47.79	41.07	47.99	58.28	9.20	11.45	13.41	
$P_1 \times P_5$	22.43	25.29	31.10	81.06	92.00	107.41	38.75	43.74	47.26	48.84	56.10	66.11	8.34	9.66	11.52	
$P_6 \times P_1$	21.82	23.48	27.84	70.78	85.86	96.72	37.23	41.84	44.98	43.85	47.49	52.27	8.79	10.50	11.56	
$P_3 \times P_2$	17.58	22.73	27.09	68.79	76.99	88.77	40.53	44.83	48.86	43.97	51.73	62.04	7.73	10.40	12.51	
$P_4 \times P_2$	22.64	23.62	30.54	79.43	83.88	98.64	40.40	43.98	47.08	45.80	50.85	59.71	7.59	10.42	12.44	
P ₅ × P ₂	18.73	21.78	22.81	76.58	84.73	96.84	36.02	38.16	42.79	37.03	43.60	58.38	8.30	10.42	12.53	
$P_6 \times P_2$	21.10	22.40	24.59	77.58	86.24	98.06	41.24	45.53	47.92	41.78	46.79	48.71	7.53	10.30	11.52	
$P_3 \times P_4$	23.82	24.34	28.98	70.33	81.94	87.86	42.10	46.03	50.72	46.10	50.04	53.97	9.24	10.50	12.50	
$P_5 \times P_3$	22.27	24.03	27.48	81.10	90.88	106.68	39.03	43.07	46.79	42.92	50.54	54.35	8.59	10.44	12.32	
$P_3 \times P_6$	17.72	24.91	27.12	72.42	80.76	101.19	41.84	44.53	49.86	39.24	46.39	54.83	8.41	10.53	11.65	
$P_4 \times P_5$	21.80	24.77	29.42	73.02	88.82	109.95	36.82	40.65	42.97	44.36	53.90	55.93	7.47	10.51	11.62	
$P_4 \times P_6$	23.19	25.72	31.56	75.07	84.03	95.77	39.01	43.73	47.62	43.98	52.71	57.52	9.33	10.46	12.50	
$P_5 \times P_6$	21.75	25.23	23.79	77.30	82.78	87.76	36.91	41.34	43.95	41.30	42.82	45.39	8.44	10.60	11.60	
L.S.D 0.05	1.97	1.17	1.02	1.74	1.11	0.89	1.47	1.29	0.99	0.33	0.27	0.28	0.33	0.27	0.28	
L.S.D 0.01	2.61	1.55	1.35	2.30	1.47	1.19	1.95	1.71	1.31	0.44	0.35	0.37	0.44	0.35	0.37	

^{*} and ** = Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.